

COLLECTION

Innovation, integration and modern
problems in the scientific activities of young
researchers and students: theory and
practice

www.d-pressa.com

31
MARCH



Jizzakh, Uzbekistan

MINISTRY OF HIGHER EDUCATION, SCIENCE AND INNOVATION OF
THE REPUBLIC OF UZBEKISTAN

JIZZAKH BRANCH OF THE NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF UZBEKISTAN
NAMED AFTER MIRZO ULUGBEK

SCIENTIFIC JOURNAL OF SCIENCE TECHNOLOGY & DIGITAL FINANCE
JOURNAL OF INTERNATIONAL SCIENCE NETWORKS

Innovation, integration and modern problems in the scientific activities of young
researchers and students: theory and practice collection of materials of the
international scientific and practical conference on the topic

(March 31, 2026)

Jizzakh-2026

Innovation, integration and modern problems in the scientific activities of young researchers and students: theory and practice – Jizzakh: Department of economics and tourism of Jizzakh branch of the national university of Uzbekistan named after Mirzo Ulugbek, March 31, 2026, 790 pp.

Editors in charge: Ass.prof. Soy M.P.

In the collection of materials of the conference, the role and role of Science, Education and production in the era of globalization, the pressing problems of the issues of interaction of these processes, feedback on their solutions were presented by mature specialists of the field.

In addition, research on the scientific and practical topic, carried out in the economics, Exact Sciences, Natural Sciences and socio-humanities during the globalization period, information is presented in the scientific and practical fields, which includes the latest innovative technologies in the fields of production.

It can be argued that this collection is one of the specific intersections of current thoughts and innovative ideas of the world of science. This scientific and practical conference was actively attended by professors and scientific researchers engaged in scientific research in Uzbekistan and foreign countries. In increasing the position of the scientific and practical conference, the professors and teachers of domestic and foreign higher educational institutions made a significant contribution.

Professors and teachers of foreign higher educational institutions who actively participated in the work of the conference made a worthy contribution to the high level of interaction with scientists of our country. The processes of international cooperation with foreign countries and exchange with them in the field of Science in the era of globalization have a positive effect on the development of Higher Education, the fields of Science and production. The materials of this conference are special in that they include a wide range of research, from theoretical developments to practical solutions, demonstrating the diversity of approaches and directions in this area.

In conclusion, it should be noted that this scientific and practical conference will be a very useful collection for everyone who is interested in modern research in the fields of further development of Higher Education, Science, Education and production in the era of globalization. The authors are responsible for the content and quality of the articles and abstracts included in the collection.

CLIMATE ENGINEERING: CAN HUMANS REVERSE GLOBAL WARMING?

Xojabekova Ramzida Uktam qizi

2nd year student of the Jizzakh branch of the National University of Uzbekistan
khujabekovaar@gmail.com

Annotation: This article explores the concept of climate engineering as a potential approach to mitigate and reverse global warming. It examines two main strategies: Carbon Dioxide Removal (CDR) and Solar Radiation Management (SRM), detailing their mechanisms, technological feasibility, benefits, and associated risks. The paper analyzes scientific, ethical, political, and societal implications, emphasizing the challenges and uncertainties of deploying large-scale interventions. Case studies including stratospheric aerosol experiments, marine cloud brightening trials, and direct air capture facilities illustrate practical applications. The article concludes that while climate engineering can complement emission reductions, it is not a standalone solution, and global cooperation and responsible governance are essential to effectively address climate change.

Keywords: Climate Engineering, Global Warming, Carbon Dioxide Removal (CDR), Solar Radiation Management (SRM), Geoengineering, Carbon Sequestration, Stratospheric Aerosol Injection, Direct Air Capture (DAC), Marine Cloud Brightening, Environmental Ethics, Climate Policy, Sustainable Development

Climate change has emerged as one of the most pressing challenges of the 21st century. Rising global temperatures, melting ice caps, extreme weather events, and loss of biodiversity all signal that human activities, particularly the burning of fossil fuels and deforestation, are disrupting the Earth's climate system. While international efforts like the Paris Agreement aim to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, some scientists and policymakers have proposed more direct interventions to manipulate the climate itself. This approach, known as climate engineering or geoengineering, seeks to deliberately alter Earth's systems to counteract global warming. This article examines the science, strategies, potential benefits, and risks of climate engineering, and evaluates whether humans can realistically reverse global warming through technological interventions.

Understanding Climate Engineering

Climate engineering encompasses a variety of techniques designed to influence the Earth's climate system. Broadly, these methods can be divided into two main categories:

1. **Carbon Dioxide Removal (CDR):** Techniques aimed at removing CO₂ from the atmosphere to reduce the greenhouse effect. Examples include afforestation, bioenergy with carbon capture and storage (BECCS), direct air capture (DAC), and enhanced weathering of minerals.

2. **Solar Radiation Management (SRM):** Methods designed to reflect a portion of sunlight back into space to cool the planet. Techniques include stratospheric aerosol injection, cloud brightening, and space-based reflectors.

Each approach has distinct mechanisms, potential impacts, and uncertainties. While CDR addresses the root cause of climate change—excess greenhouse gases—SRM aims to reduce the symptoms, temporarily cooling the planet without eliminating CO₂.

Carbon Dioxide Removal Techniques

1. **Afforestation and Reforestation:** Planting trees on a large scale to absorb CO₂ through photosynthesis. Forests act as carbon sinks, storing carbon in biomass and soils. Challenges include land availability, biodiversity impacts, and ensuring long-term forest health.

2. **Bioenergy with Carbon Capture and Storage (BECCS):** Combines biomass energy production with capturing and storing the released CO₂ underground. BECCS could potentially achieve “negative emissions,” but requires large-scale land use and water resources.

3. **Direct Air Capture (DAC):** Uses chemical processes to extract CO₂ directly from the atmosphere and store it in geological formations. While technologically feasible, DAC is currently energy-intensive and costly.

4. **Enhanced Weathering:** Involves spreading pulverized minerals like olivine over land to chemically bind CO₂. This method accelerates natural geological processes but requires substantial material and energy inputs.

Solar Radiation Management Techniques

1. **Stratospheric Aerosol Injection:** Mimics volcanic eruptions by dispersing reflective particles (e.g., sulfur dioxide) in the stratosphere to reduce incoming sunlight. Potential benefits include rapid temperature reduction, but risks include altered precipitation patterns, ozone depletion, and geopolitical tensions.

2. **Marine Cloud Brightening:** Sprays seawater droplets into clouds to increase their reflectivity. While less risky than stratospheric aerosols, its effects on regional climates and ecosystems are uncertain.

3. **Space-Based Reflectors:** Deploying mirrors or reflectors in space to reduce solar radiation reaching Earth. Technologically challenging and expensive, but could offer precise control over solar input.

Scientific and Technical Considerations

While climate engineering offers potential solutions to global warming, several scientific uncertainties persist. The climate system is highly complex, with nonlinear feedbacks and regional variability. Interventions like SRM could produce unintended consequences, including shifts in rainfall patterns, disruption of monsoons, or ocean acidification. Moreover, techniques like CDR require careful scaling to achieve meaningful reductions in atmospheric CO₂, and long-term monitoring is essential to evaluate effectiveness.

Modeling studies suggest that large-scale deployment of SRM could lower global temperatures within a few years. However, it would not address the underlying problem of high greenhouse gas concentrations, leaving oceans and

ecosystems vulnerable to acidification and heat stress. Conversely, CDR methods target the root cause but act slowly and may not prevent short-term climate impacts.

Ethical, Political, and Societal Implications

Climate engineering raises profound ethical and governance questions. Who decides when and how to deploy these technologies? What risks are acceptable, and who bears responsibility if interventions produce adverse effects? Geoengineering could create “moral hazard,” reducing incentives for emission reductions if policymakers rely on technological fixes. Additionally, unilateral deployment by one nation could have global repercussions, necessitating international governance frameworks. Public perception and societal acceptance are crucial, as poorly communicated initiatives may generate mistrust and opposition.

Case Studies and Experiments

Several small-scale experiments and research programs have explored climate engineering feasibility:

1. **Stratospheric Controlled Perturbation Experiment (SCoPEX):** A Harvard-led project testing stratospheric aerosol dispersion in a controlled environment to study atmospheric effects.
2. **Marine Cloud Brightening Trials:** Experiments off the coast of California have investigated cloud reflectivity changes and microphysical processes.
3. **Direct Air Capture Pilot Plants:** Companies like Climeworks and Carbon Engineering operate DAC facilities that capture thousands of tons of CO₂ per year, demonstrating technical feasibility.

These initiatives provide valuable data but also highlight the challenges of scaling and unintended consequences.

Can Humans Reverse Global Warming?

While climate engineering offers potential tools to reduce global temperatures, reversing global warming entirely is unlikely in the near term. Most strategies can complement emission reductions but cannot replace them. CDR methods require decades of sustained effort, and SRM carries risks that limit its long-term viability. Effective climate mitigation will likely involve a combination of approaches: aggressive emission reductions, natural carbon sequestration, and carefully governed geoengineering experiments.

Conclusion

Climate engineering represents a bold frontier in humanity’s response to climate change. Technological interventions, from carbon removal to solar reflection, offer opportunities to slow or partially reverse global warming. However, scientific uncertainties, ethical dilemmas, and governance challenges underscore that these tools are not a panacea. Reversing climate change will require a comprehensive strategy that integrates emission reductions, sustainable development, and responsible exploration of climate engineering. Ultimately, the success of these efforts depends on global cooperation, robust science, and a commitment to safeguarding both the planet and its inhabitants.

References:

1. National Research Council. (2015). *Climate Intervention: Reflecting Sunlight to Cool Earth*. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.
2. Crutzen, P. J. (2006). Albedo enhancement by stratospheric sulfur injections: A contribution to resolve a policy dilemma? *Climatic Change*, 77(3–4), 211–220.
3. Royal Society. (2009). *Geoengineering the Climate: Science, Governance and Uncertainty*. London: The Royal Society.
4. Keith, D. W. (2013). *A Case for Climate Engineering*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
5. Smith, P., et al. (2016). Biophysical and economic limits to negative CO₂ emissions. *Nature Climate Change*, 6, 42–50.
6. Saitov S. et al. ZAMONAVIY FILOLOGIYA FANLARIDAGI DOLZARB MASALALAR //Scientific practical conference. – 2025. – Т. 1. – №. 1. – С. 419-422.
7. Eshboyeva M., Eshboyev T., Saitov S. GREEN ECONOMY AS THE FOUNDATION OF SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT: GLOBAL PERSPECTIVES AND NATIONAL STRATEGIES //Scientific practical conference. – 2025. – Т. 1. – №. 1. – С. 235-238.
8. Saitov S. et al. О ‘ZBEKISTONDA SANOAT KORXONALARIDA INVESTITSION FAOLIYATNI RAG ‘BATLANTIRISH YO ‘NALISHLARI //Новости образования: исследование в XXI веке. – 2025. – Т. 3. – №. 33. – С. 728-730.
9. Saitov S. et al. SANOAT KORXONALARIDA INVESTITSION FAOLIYATINI TAKOMILLASHTIRISHNING ILMIY-NAZARIY ASOSLARI //Новости образования: исследование в XXI веке. – 2025. – Т. 3. – №. 33. – С. 731-733.
10. Eshboyeva M., Eshboyev T., Saitov S. GREEN ACCOUNTING IN UZBEKISTAN: SUSTAINABILITY AND INTERNATIONAL FINANCIAL REPORTING STANDARDS //Scientific journals" D-PRESS SERVICES". – 2025. – Т. 1. – №. 7. – С. 88-91.
11. Saitov S., Nuraliyeva S. BOZOR IQTISODIYOTIDA PSIXOLOGIK BOSHQARUV SHAKLLARI //Scientific practical conference. – 2025. – Т. 1. – №. 1. – С. 447-452.
12. Axrorov E., Saitov S. O‘ZBEKISTONDA ISHSIZLIK DARAJASINI KAMAYTIRISH VA YANGI ISH O‘RINLARINI YARATISH //Scientific practical conference. – 2025. – Т. 1. – №. 1. – С. 136-140.
13. Цой М., Камолов Д. ЗНАЧЕНИЕ И РОЛЬ ДЕЯТЕЛЬНОСТИ СУБЪЕКТОВ МАЛОГО ПРЕДПРИНИМАТЕЛЬСТВА В ЭКОНОМИКЕ: МИРОВОЙ ОПЫТ И ПРАКТИКА УЗБЕКИСТАНА //Academic literature. – 2025. – Т. 1. – №. 1. – С. 1-105.
14. Saitov S. Barqaror Iqtisodiyotni Ta'minlashda Soliq Siyosatining Samaradorligi //Green Economy and Development. – Т. 3. – №. 12. – С. 668436.
15. Kamolov D., Asrayev S. STATE POLICY FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF COMPETITION IN UZBEKISTAN //Science technology&Digital Finance. – 2023. – Т. 1. – №. 4. – С. 353-361.